



Subject card

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------|-----|
| Subject name and code | PRAGMATIC LOGIC FOR ENGINEERS, PG_00044759 | | | | | | |
| Field of study | Engineering Management | | | | | | |
| Date of commencement of studies | October 2021 | | Academic year of realisation of subject | | 2021/2022 | | |
| Education level | first-cycle studies | | Subject group | | Obligatory subject group in the field of study Humanistic-social subject group | | |
| Mode of study | Full-time studies | | Mode of delivery | | blended-learning | | |
| Year of study | 1 | | Language of instruction | | Polish | | |
| Semester of study | 1 | | ECTS credits | | 3.0 | | |
| Learning profile | general academic profile | | Assessment form | | assessment | | |
| Conducting unit | Department of Social Sciences and Philosophy -> Faculty of Management and Economics | | | | | | |
| Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers) | Subject supervisor | | dr hab. Andrzej Lisak | | | | |
| | Teachers | | dr hab. Andrzej Lisak | | | | |
| Lesson types and methods of instruction | Lesson type | Lecture | Tutorial | Laboratory | Project | Seminar | SUM |
| | Number of study hours | 30.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45 |
| | E-learning hours included: 30.0 | | | | | | |
| | Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: | | | | | | |
| Learning activity and number of study hours | Learning activity | Participation in didactic classes included in study plan | | Participation in consultation hours | | Self-study | SUM |
| | Number of study hours | 45 | | 6.0 | | 24.0 | 75 |
| Subject objectives | The goal of the classes is to cultivate student's methodological self-awareness, their ability to reason and carry out proper thinking operations (inference, classification) and to practice the ability to recognize common logical fallacies in reasoning. | | | | | | |
| Learning outcomes | Course outcome | | Subject outcome | | Method of verification | | |
| | [K6_U06] uses basic theoretical knowledge to solve selected organizational problems, design technical solutions and manage projects, including engineering projects | | Student is aware of the limits of the application of logical tools in methodical problem-solving and understands the role of rhetoric in the construction of convincing arguments. | | [SU1] Assessment of task fulfilment | | |
| | [K6_U07] can work independently and in a team | | Learns how to evaluate the logical correctness of a given scientific hypothesis. | | [SU2] Assessment of ability to analyse information | | |
| | [K6_W06] has a basic knowledge of methods and tools for conducting research and analyses related to particular areas of the enterprise's operations and its environment | | Learns the basic logical inference and deduction. | | [SW1] Assessment of factual knowledge | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Subject contents | <p>1. Basic information on semiotics. Language as a system of signs. Syntactic categories. The semiotic function of expressions.</p> <p>2. Names and concepts. Logical division and classification.</p> <p>3. Definitions.</p> <p>4. Sentence and proposition.</p> <p>5. Logical fallacies and sources of misunderstandings.</p> <p>6. Idea of formal logic. Propositional calculus. Logical tautologies.</p> <p>7. Functional calculus.</p> <p>8. Syllogisms.</p> <p>9. Basic notions of relation theory.</p> <p>10. Direct and indirect reasoning. Drawing conclusions.</p> <p>11. Types of inference. Deductive inference and probabilistic inference.</p> <p>12. Role of inference in description and explanation of the world.</p> <p>13. Logical structure of science.</p> <p>14. Conversational logic and an art of argumentation.</p> <p>15. Limits of the application of logical instruments in reasoning. Art of rhetoric.</p> | | |
| Prerequisites and co-requisites | | | |
| Assessment methods and criteria | Subject passing criteria | Passing threshold | Percentage of the final grade |
| | Oral exam | 50.0% | 70.0% |
| | Active participation | 50.0% | 15.0% |
| | Assignments | 50.0% | 15.0% |
| Recommended reading | Basic literature | T. Hołówka, Kultura logiczna w przykładach, PWN Warszawa 2005. | |
| | Supplementary literature | K. Szymanek, Sztuka argumentacji. Słownik terminologiczny, PWN Warszawa 2001. | |
| | | R. Wójcicki, Wykłady z logiki z elementami teorii wiedzy, Scholar Warszawa 2003. | |
| | eResources addresses | | |
| Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed | What is logical inference. | | |
| | Check if the given fomula is tautology belongs to the classical logical calculus. | | |
| | What is logical division. | | |
| | What are syllogisms? | | |

| | |
|----------------|----------------|
| Work placement | Not applicable |
|----------------|----------------|