

Subject card

Subject name and code	MSc Diploma Thesis I, PG_00048804					
Field of study	Electronics and Telecommunications					
Date of commencement of studies	February 2024	Academic year of realisation of subject	2024/2025			
Education level	second-cycle studies	Subject group	Optional subject group Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study			
Mode of study	Full-time studies	Mode of delivery	at the university			
Year of study	1	Language of instruction	Polish			
Semester of study	2	ECTS credits	5.0			
Learning profile	general academic profile	Assessment form assessment				
Conducting unit	Department of Decision Systems and Robotics -> Faculty of Electronics, Telecommunications and Informatics					
Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)	Subject supervisor	dr hab. inż. Grzegorz Lentka				

Data wydruku: 19.05.2024 05:16 Strona 1 z 4

Teachers	dr inż. Katarzyna Karpienko
	dr inż. Karolina Marciniuk
	dr inż. Magdalena Młynarczuk
	dr inż. Marcin Narloch
	dr inż. Mariusz Dzwonkowski
	dr inż. Grzegorz Jasiński
	dr hab. inż. Iwona Kochańska
	dr inż. Sławomir Gajewski
	dr inż. Jan Schmidt
	dr inż. Miron Kłosowski
	dr inż. Jarosław Magiera
	dr inż. Michał Kowalewski
	dr inż. Mateusz Ficek
	dr inż. Piotr Odya
	dr inż. Piotr Rajchowski
	dr hab. inż. Marek Wójcikowski
	dr hab. inż. Paweł Wierzba
	dr hab. inż. Rafał Lech
	dr hab. inż. Robert Bogdanowicz
	prof. dr hab. inż. Małgorzata Szczerska
	dr hab. inż. Marek Blok
	dr hab. inż. Sławomir Ambroziak
	dr hab. inż. Sylwester Kaczmarek
	dr hab. inż. Zbigniew Czaja
	dr inż. Bartosz Czaplewski
	dr hab. inż. Adam Lamęcki
	dr hab. inż. Grzegorz Szwoch
	dr hab. inż. Grzegorz Lentka
	dr hab. inż. Henryk Lasota
	dr hab. inż. Jacek Jakusz
	dr hab. inż. Łukasz Kulas
	dr hab. inż. Bogdan Pankiewicz
	dr hab. inż. Jacek Marszal
	dr hab. inż. Jarosław Sadowski
	dr hab. inż. Józef Kotus
	dr inż. Arkadiusz Szewczyk
	dr inż. Andrzej Marczak
	dr inż. Andrzej Kwiatkowski
	dr inż. Adam Mazikowski
	dr inż. Małgorzata Gajewska

Data wydruku: 19.05.2024 05:16 Strona 2 z 4

dr inż. Maciej Wróbel dr inż. Maciej Sac prof. dr hab. inż. Janusz Smulko dr inż. Stanisław Galla dr inż. Waldemar Jendernalik dr inż. Wylojech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Waldemar Jendernalik dr inż. Wylojech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Project Seminar SUM Number of study hours E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity and number of study hours Number of study hours Finalisation of the master thesis.	-								
prof. dr hab. inż. Janusz Smulko dr inż. Piotr Sypek dr inż. Stanisław Galla dr inż. Marek Tatara dr inż. Sylwia Babicz-Kiewlicz dr hab. inż. Waldemar Jendernalik dr inż. Wojciech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Project Seminar SUM Number of study hours E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity and number of study hours				dr inż. Maciej	Wróbel				
dr inz. Piotr Sypek dr inż. Stanisław Galla dr inż. Marek Tatara dr inż. Sylwia Babicz-Kiewlicz dr hab. inż. Wyciech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Wojciech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Arzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Project Seminar SUM Number of study hours E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity and number of study hours Number of study hours O 30.0 95.0 125			dr inż. Maciej Sac						
dr inż. Stanisław Galla dr inż. Marek Tatara dr inż. Sylwia Babicz-Kiewlicz dr hab. inż. Waldemar Jendernalik dr inż. Wojciech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Project Seminar SUM Number of study 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity Participation in didactic classes included in study Participation in consultation hours Self-study SUM Number of study Number of study Participation in didactic classes included in study Participation in consultation hours Self-study SUM Number of study Number of study Participation in didactic classes included in study Participation in consultation hours Self-study SUM Number of study Number of study Participation in didactic classes included in study Participation in consultation hours Self-study SUM Number of study Number of study Participation in didactic classes included in study Participation in consultation hours Self-study Sum			prof. dr hab. inż. Janusz Smulko						
dr inż. Marek Tatara dr inż. Sylwia Babicz-Kiewlicz dr hab. inż. Waldemar Jendernalik dr inż. Wojciech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Learning activity and number of study hours Number of study plan 30.0 95.0 125				dr inż. Piotr Sypek					
dr inż. Sylwia Babicz-Kiewlicz dr hab. inż. Waldemar Jendernalik dr inż. Wojciech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Ardrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Number of study hours E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity and number of study hours				dr inż. Stanisław Galla					
dr hab. inż. Waldemar Jendernalik dr inż. Wojciech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Project Seminar SUM Number of study hours D.0 D.0 D.0 D.0 D.0 D.0 E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity Learning activity Participation in didactic classes included in study plan D.0 D.0 D.0 D.0 D.0 D.0 Number of study hours D.0 D.0			dr inż. Marek Tatara						
dr inż. Wojciech Siwicki dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Number of study hours Learning activity and number of study hours Darticipation in didactic classes included in study hours Number of study hours O 30.0 95.0 125									
dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Number of study hours Learning activity and number of study hours Number of study plan Augusta Participation in didactic classes included in study plan 30.0 95.0 125									
prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Project Seminar SUM Number of study hours E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity Participation in didactic classes included in study plan Number of study hours O 30.0 95.0 125				dr inż. Wojciech Siwicki					
dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka prof. dr hab. inż. Bożena Kostek dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Project Seminar SUM Number of study hours E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity and number of study hours			dr hab. inż. Piotr Szczuko						
Lesson types and methods of instruction Learning activity and number of study hours Description Description			prof. dr hab. inż. Andrzej Czyżewski						
dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type				dr hab. inż. Krzysztof Nyka					
Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Project Seminar SUM Number of study 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity Participation in didactic Classes included in study Participation hours Self-study SUM Number of study				prof. dr hab. i	nż. Bożena Ko	stek			
Lesson types and methods of instruction Lesson type				dr inż. Arkadiusz Harasimiuk					
of instruction Number of study hours E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity and number of study hours				dr hab. inż. Piotr Kowalczyk					
of instruction Number of study hours E-learning hours included: 0.0 Learning activity and number of study hours	Lesson types and methods	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory Project Seminar SUM				
Learning activity and number of study hours Learning activity Participation in didactic classes included in study plan Participation in consultation hours Self-study SUM Number of study hours 0 30.0 95.0 125		Number of study			<u> </u>				_
and number of study hours Classes included in study plan		E-learning hours inclu	uded: 0.0			1			
hours	Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	classes includ					SUM	
Subject objectives Finalisation of the master thesis.			0		30.0		95.0		125
	Subject objectives	Finalisation of the master thesis.							

Data wydruku: 19.05.2024 05:16 Strona 3 z 4

	Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Mothod of varification		
IKT_UDB while identifying and formulating engineering tasks specifications and solving these tasks, carm. apply analytical, simulation and experimental methods, is notice their systemic make a preliminary economic assessment of suggested solutions and engineering work.	Learning outcomes	critical evaluation of received content and to acknowledge the importance of knowledge in solving cognitive and practical	received content. Understands the role of science in solving cognitive	solve problems that arise in		
International Contents International Conte		[K7_U08] while identifying and formulating engineering tasks specifications and solving these tasks, can:n- apply analytical, simulation and experimental methods,n- notice their systemic and non-technical aspects,n-make a preliminary economic assessment of suggested	problems, analyze them and use analytical, simulation and experimental methods to solve them. He perceives his role in society and knows his responsibility for the non-technical	use methods and tools [SU3] Assessment of ability to use knowledge gained from the subject [SU2] Assessment of ability to		
pursuit their own lifelong education and influence others in this aspect, also by means of advanced information and communication technologies (ICT), and communication technologies (ICT), and communication technologies (ICT), and communication specialist issues with diverse recipients, appropriately justify points of view, hold debates, present, assess and discuss different opinions and points of view, as well as use specialist terminology related to the field of study in communication. Including and other conditions of various types of activities related to the given qualification, including and other conditions of various types of activities related to the given qualification, including and other conditions of various types of activities related to the given qualification, including and environment in which he conducts business. Student knows the rules of intellectual property protection. He understands the impact of his activities on the economics and environment in which he conducts business. Student knows the rules of intellectual property protection. He understands the impact of his activities on the economics and environment in which he conducts business. Student knows the rules of intellectual property protection. He understands the impact of his activities on the economics and environment in which he conducts business. Student knows the rules of intellectual property protection. He understands the impact of his activities on the economics and environment in which he conducts business. Student knows the rules of intellectual property protection. He understands the impact of his activities on the economics and environment in which he conducts business. Student knows the rules of intellectual property protection. He understands the impact of his activities on the economics and environment in which he conducts business. Student knows the rules of intellectual property protection. He understands the impact of his activities related to the given and environment in which he conducts the		[K7_K03] is ready to meet social obligations, inspire and organise activities for the social environment, initiate actions for the public interest, think and act in	perform professional functions in the social interest. Is able to organize and initiate activities for the public interest and	solve problems that arise in		
understands, to an increased extent, the economic, legal and other conditions of various types of activities related to the given qualification, including the principles of protection of industrial property and copyright. Student proposes a solution to the formulated problem, selects the necessary tools and codes, configures their environment, plans and carries out experiments to evaluate the proposed solution, as well as prepares the final version of the master thesis. Prerequisites and co-requisites		pursuit their own lifelong education and influence others in this aspect, also by means of advanced information and communication technologies (ICT), and communicate on specialist issues with diverse recipients, appropriately justify points of view, hold debates, present, assess and discuss different opinions and points of view, as well as use specialist terminology related to the field of	for developed by themselves solution for a technical problem,			
their environment, plans and carries out experiments to evaluate the proposed solution, as well as prepares the final version of the master thesis. Prerequisites and co-requisites Assessment methods and criteria Recommended reading Basic literature Depends on the subject of the thesis. Supplementary literature Resources addresses Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed		understands, to an increased extent, the economic, legal and other conditions of various types of activities related to the given qualification, including the principles of protection of		contained in presentation [SW1] Assessment of factual		
Assessment methods and criteria Recommended reading Basic literature Supplementary literature eResources addresses Addresy na platformie eNauczanie: Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed Subject passing criteria Passing threshold Percentage of the final grade 100.0% Acceptance of the final manuscript. 50.0% Percentage of the final grade 100.0% Percentage of the final grade 100.0% Acceptance of the final grade 100.0% Percentage of the final grade 100.0% Acceptance of the final grade 100.0%	Subject contents	their environment, plans and carries	out experiments to evaluate the prop			
and criteria Acceptance of the final manuscript. 50.0% 100.0% Recommended reading Basic literature Supplementary literature eResources addresses Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed		no requirements				
and criteria Acceptance of the final manuscript. 50.0% 100.0% Recommended reading Basic literature Supplementary literature eResources addresses Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed	Assessment methods	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade		
Recommended reading Basic literature Supplementary literature eResources addresses Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed		, , ,				
Supplementary literature No requirements eResources addresses Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed	Recommended reading	<u> </u>				
eResources addresses Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed						
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed			· · ·			
example questions/ tasks being completed		eResources addresses	Adresy na platformie eNauczanie:			
Work placement Not applicable	example questions/					
	Work placement	Not applicable				

Data wydruku: 19.05.2024 05:16 Strona 4 z 4