



Subject card

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------|-----|
| Subject name and code | | Risk and reliability of systems, PG_00065614 | | | | | | |
| Field of study | | Naval Architecture and Offshore Structures | | | | | | |
| Date of commencement of studies | | February 2025 | | Academic year of realisation of subject | | 2024/2025 | | |
| Education level | | second-cycle studies | | Subject group | | Obligatory subject group in the field of study Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study | | |
| Mode of study | | Full-time studies | | Mode of delivery | | at the university | | |
| Year of study | | 1 | | Language of instruction | | Polish | | |
| Semester of study | | 1 | | ECTS credits | | 4.0 | | |
| Learning profile | | general academic profile | | Assessment form | | exam | | |
| Conducting unit | | Zakład Siłowni Okrętowych -> Institute of Ocean Engineering and Ship Technology -> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Ship Technology | | | | | | |
| Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers) | | Subject supervisor | | dr inż. Roman Liberacki | | | | |
| | | Teachers | | dr inż. Roman Liberacki | | | | |
| Lesson types and methods of instruction | | Lesson type | Lecture | Tutorial | Laboratory | Project | Seminar | SUM |
| | | Number of study hours | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 60 |
| | | E-learning hours included: 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Learning activity and number of study hours | | Learning activity | Participation in didactic classes included in study plan | | Participation in consultation hours | | Self-study | SUM |
| | | Number of study hours | 60 | | 10.0 | | 30.0 | 100 |
| Subject objectives | | Introducing students to methods of reliability assessment and risk analysis of technical systems. | | | | | | |
| Learning outcomes | | Course outcome | | Subject outcome | | Method of verification | | |
| | | [K7_W11] interprets social, economic, legal (including industrial and intellectual property laws), and other non-technical aspects of engineering activities, and includes them into engineering practice | | The student interprets the economic and legal conditions for safety assessment and incorporates them into engineering practice. | | [SW1] Assessment of factual knowledge [SW3] Assessment of knowledge contained in written work and projects | | |
| | | [K7_K12] is ready for fulfilling social commitment and initiation of actions for public interest including entrepreneurial thinking and acting | | The student understands the social role and the necessity of striving to minimize risks while maintaining a reasonable approach to the associated costs and benefits. | | [SK5] Assessment of ability to solve problems that arise in practice | | |
| | | [K7_U03] identifies and formulates task specifications in the scope of shipborne and offshore systems/ processes design, including non-standard problems also accounting for their non-technical aspects | | The student identifies and formulates tasks and solves them in the context of system design, taking into account their safety. He/she also considers atypical aspects such as the human factor. | | [SU1] Assessment of task fulfilment | | |
| | | [K7_K11] is aware of importance of professional acting, the need for critical verification of acquired knowledge and consulting experts opinion in case of facing difficulties with individual problem solving | | The student understands and can perform a professional risk analysis related to technical systems. He/she can critically verify the acquired knowledge and utilize expert opinions in case of difficulties in independently solving a problem. | | [SK5] Assessment of ability to solve problems that arise in practice | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Subject contents | <p>LECTURES: Concept of reliability, reliability indicators, mathematical models for assessing the reliability of elements and systems. Statistical hypothesis testing. Maintainability and availability of technical systems. Human factors. Methods for assessing the probability of human errors. Concept of risk, risk measures, mathematical models for risk assessment. ALARP criterion. Formal Safety Assessment (FSA) method in shipping. Safety management.</p> <p>PROJECT: Risk analysis of a selected technical object.</p> | | |
| Prerequisites and co-requisites | Basic knowledge of the construction and operation of machines and devices. | | |
| Assessment methods and criteria | Subject passing criteria | Passing threshold | Percentage of the final grade |
| | Project | 100.0% | 50.0% |
| | Written test | 50.0% | 50.0% |
| Recommended reading | Basic literature | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Girtler J., Kuzmider S., Plewiński L.: Wybrane zagadnienia eksploatacji statków morskich w aspekcie bezpieczeństwa żeglugi. WSM, Szczecin 2003. 2. Gołabek A.: Wybrane zagadnienia bezpieczeństwa maszyn. Oficyna Wydawnicza Politechniki Wrocławskiej, Wrocław 2002. 3. Guidelines for Formal Safety Assessment (FSA) for Use in The Imo Rule-Making Process, International Maritime Organization 2002. 4. Radkowski S.: Podstawy bezpiecznej techniki. Oficyna Wydawnicza Politechniki Warszawskiej, Warszawa 2003. 5. Brandowski A., Metodyka formalnej oceny bezpieczeństwa statku (FSA), I-sza Międzynarodowa Szkoła Letnia Bezpieczeństwo na Morzu, Politechnika Gdańska, Gdańsk 2001. 6. Normy: OHSAS 18001:2007 7. ISM CODE 8. SPIS CODE | |
| | Supplementary literature | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Modarres M., What every engineer should know about Reliability and Risk Analysis, Center for Reliability Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Basel, Hong Kong, 1993. | |
| | eResources addresses | <p>Adresy na platformie eNauczanie:</p> <p>Risk and reliability of systems, PG_00065614, W, P, OiKM, sem.1, letni 2024/2025 - Moodle ID: 44051 https://enauczanie.pg.edu.pl/moodle/course/view.php?id=44051</p> | |
| Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Define reliability from a probabilistic perspective. 2. Explain the ALARP risk criterion and its significance. 3. List the stages of the FSA method. 4. Conduct a risk analysis of a selected technical object. | | |
| Work placement | Not applicable | | |

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.