

## Subject card

Subject name and code	OPIMAL DESIGN OF	ENGINEERIN	G STRUCTUF	RES, PG_00042	2242				
Field of study	Civil Engineering								
Date of commencement of studies	February 2025		Academic year of realisation of subject			2025/2026			
Education level	second-cycle studies		Subject group			Optional subject group			
Mode of study	Full-time studies		Mode of delivery			at the university			
Year of study	1		Language of instruction			Polish			
Semester of study	2		ECTS credits			4.0			
Learning profile	general academic profile		Assessment form		exam				
Conducting unit	Structural Mechanics Department -> Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering								
Name and surname	Subject supervisor		dr hab. inż. Marcin Kujawa						
of lecturer (lecturers)	Teachers								
Lesson types and methods of instruction	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project S		Seminar	SUM	
	Number of study hours	30.0	15.0	0.0	15.0		0.0	60	
	E-learning hours included: 0.0								
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study		SUM	
	Number of study hours	60		5.0		35.0		100	
Subject objectives	Understanding the pr applicability in the de- modules in the comm design of the structur of the existing facilitie	sign process. A ercial optimiza e. Show the ap	bility to formulation software e	ate the optimiza	ation pro ability	oblem - to use	the possibility a sensitivity a	ty of using analysis in the	

Data wygenerowania: 21.11.2024 20:32 Strona 1 z 3

Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification		
	[K7_W03] has knowledge of Continuum Mechanics, knows rules of static analysis, stability and dynamics of complex rod, shell and volume structures, both in linear and basic nonlinear regime		[SW1] Assessment of factual knowledge [SW3] Assessment of knowledge contained in written work and projects		
	[K7_K02] Rocognizes the significance of knowledge in solving cognitive and practical problems; reliably evaluates results of his own and team research		[SK5] Assessment of ability to solve problems that arise in practice		
	[K7_W02] knows principles of analysis, design and dimensioning of complex constructions and its elements		[SW1] Assessment of factual knowledge [SW3] Assessment of knowledge contained in written work and projects		
	[K7_W04] has knowledge on advanced strength of materials, modeling and optimisation of materials and constructions; has knowledge of fundamentals of Finite Element Method and general nonlinear analysis of engineering constructions and systems		[SW1] Assessment of factual knowledge [SW3] Assessment of knowledge contained in written work and projects		
	[K7_U02] can design and dimension complex steel, concrete (including reinforced), wood and masonry construtions and its details		[SU1] Assessment of task fulfilment [SU2] Assessment of ability to analyse information [SU3] Assessment of ability to use knowledge gained from the subject [SU4] Assessment of ability to use methods and tools [SU5] Assessment of ability to present the results of task		
	Basics of design theory and its applireview of optimization problems and multipliers Lagrangea. Non-linear prodesign applications. Basic of modelir sensitivity theory. First order sensitivity theory.	d solution methods. Graphic and ana ogramming. Iterative methods. Optin ng process. A review of models appli	Alytical methods. Method of mal design of structures and rational led in designing. Problems of		
	Basic knowledge of : structural mechased on codes	nanic strength of materials numerical	methods design of structures		
Prerequisites	Basic knowledge of : structural mech based on codes		<u> </u>		
Prerequisites and co-requisites	Basic knowledge of : structural mech	Passing threshold 50.0%	methods design of structures  Percentage of the final grade  50.0%		
Prerequisites and co-requisites Assessment methods	Basic knowledge of : structural mechased on codes  Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade		
Prerequisites and co-requisites Assessment methods	Basic knowledge of : structural mechased on codes  Subject passing criteria Written exam	Passing threshold 50.0% 50.0%  1. Szymczak C.: Elementy teorii p 1998. 2. Brandt A.M. (red.): Kryteria i m PWN, 1977. 3. Gelfannd I.M., Fomin S.W.: Ra Warszawa 1970.	Percentage of the final grade 50.0% 50.0% rojektowania, PWN, Warszawa etody optymalizacji konstrukcji, chunek wariacyjny, PWN, konstrukcji budowlanych, Arkady, V., Design Sensitivity Analysis of		
Prerequisites and co-requisites Assessment methods and criteria  Recommended reading	Basic knowledge of : structural mechased on codes  Subject passing criteria Written exam Project	Passing threshold  50.0%  50.0%  1. Szymczak C.: Elementy teorii p 1998. 2. Brandt A.M. (red.): Kryteria i m PWN, 1977. 3. Gelfannd I.M., Fomin S.W.: Ra Warszawa 1970. 4. Murzewski J.: Bezpieczeństwo Warszawa 1970. 5. Haug E.J., Choi K.K., Komkov	Percentage of the final grade 50.0% 50.0% rojektowania, PWN, Warszawa etody optymalizacji konstrukcji, chunek wariacyjny, PWN, konstrukcji budowlanych, Arkady, V., Design Sensitivity Analysis of		
Prerequisites and co-requisites Assessment methods and criteria  Recommended reading	Basic knowledge of : structural mechased on codes  Subject passing criteria Written exam Project  Basic literature	Passing threshold  50.0%  50.0%  1. Szymczak C.: Elementy teorii p 1998. 2. Brandt A.M. (red.): Kryteria i me PWN, 1977. 3. Gelfannd I.M., Fomin S.W.: Rawarszawa 1970. 4. Murzewski J.: Bezpieczeństwo Warszawa 1970. 5. Haug E.J., Choi K.K., Komkov Structural Systems, Academic	Percentage of the final grade 50.0% 50.0% rojektowania, PWN, Warszawa etody optymalizacji konstrukcji, chunek wariacyjny, PWN, konstrukcji budowlanych, Arkady, V., Design Sensitivity Analysis of		
Prerequisites and co-requisites Assessment methods and criteria  Recommended reading	Basic knowledge of : structural mechased on codes  Subject passing criteria Written exam Project  Basic literature  Supplementary literature	Passing threshold 50.0% 50.0% 1. Szymczak C.: Elementy teorii p 1998. 2. Brandt A.M. (red.): Kryteria i me PWN, 1977. 3. Gelfannd I.M., Fomin S.W.: Rai Warszawa 1970. 4. Murzewski J.: Bezpieczeństwo Warszawa 1970. 5. Haug E.J., Choi K.K., Komkov Structural Systems, Academic No requirements Adresy na platformie eNauczanie:	Percentage of the final grade 50.0% 50.0% rojektowania, PWN, Warszawa etody optymalizacji konstrukcji, chunek wariacyjny, PWN, konstrukcji budowlanych, Arkady, V., Design Sensitivity Analysis of		
Prerequisites and co-requisites  Assessment methods and criteria  Recommended reading  Example issues/ example questions/	Basic knowledge of : structural mechased on codes  Subject passing criteria Written exam Project  Basic literature  Supplementary literature eResources addresses Describe design methods used in the Formulate an optimization problem. Describe methods for solving optimization	Passing threshold 50.0% 50.0% 1. Szymczak C.: Elementy teorii p 1998. 2. Brandt A.M. (red.): Kryteria i me PWN, 1977. 3. Gelfannd I.M., Fomin S.W.: Ra Warszawa 1970. 4. Murzewski J.: Bezpieczeństwo Warszawa 1970. 5. Haug E.J., Choi K.K., Komkov Structural Systems, Academic No requirements Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: e practice.	Percentage of the final grade 50.0% 50.0% rojektowania, PWN, Warszawa etody optymalizacji konstrukcji, chunek wariacyjny, PWN, konstrukcji budowlanych, Arkady, V., Design Sensitivity Analysis of Press, Orlando 1986.		
Prerequisites and co-requisites  Assessment methods and criteria  Recommended reading  Example issues/ example questions/	Basic knowledge of : structural mechased on codes  Subject passing criteria Written exam Project  Basic literature  Supplementary literature eResources addresses Describe design methods used in the	Passing threshold  50.0%  50.0%  1. Szymczak C.: Elementy teorii p 1998. 2. Brandt A.M. (red.): Kryteria i me PWN, 1977. 3. Gelfannd I.M., Fomin S.W.: Rai Warszawa 1970. 4. Murzewski J.: Bezpieczeństwo Warszawa 1970. 5. Haug E.J., Choi K.K., Komkov Structural Systems, Academic No requirements  Adresy na platformie eNauczanie: e practice.	Percentage of the final grade 50.0% 50.0% rojektowania, PWN, Warszawa etody optymalizacji konstrukcji, chunek wariacyjny, PWN, konstrukcji budowlanych, Arkady, V., Design Sensitivity Analysis of Press, Orlando 1986.		

Data wygenerowania: 21.11.2024 20:32 Strona 2 z 3

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.

Data wygenerowania: 21.11.2024 20:32 Strona 3 z 3