

Subject card

Subject name and code	Game theory, PG_00069466							
Field of study	Mathematics							
Date of commencement of studies	October 2025		Academic year of realisation of subject			2025/2026		
Education level	second-cycle studies		Subject group			Specialty subject group Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study		
Mode of study	Full-time studies		Mode of delivery			at the university		
Year of study	1		Language of instruction			Polish		
Semester of study	1		ECTS credits			4.0		
Learning profile	general academic profile		Assessment form			assessment		
Conducting unit	Department of Nonlinear Analysis and Statistics -> Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics -> Wydziały Politechniki Gdańskiej							
Name and surname	Subject supervisor		dr hab. Zdzisław Dzedzej					
of lecturer (lecturers)	Teachers		dr hab. Zdzis	sław Dzedzej				
Lesson types and methods of instruction	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Projec	t	Seminar	SUM
	Number of study hours	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0 60		60
	E-learning hours included: 0.0							
	eNauczanie source addresses: Moodle ID: 1066 Teoria gier 25-26 https://enauczanie.pg.edu.pl/2025/course/view.php?id=1066							
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in dida classes included in plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study		SUM
	Number of study hours	60		5.0		35.0		100
Subject objectives	The aim of the subject to different fields of so (population dynamics and different technique) During seminars additional as game solving will be	cience, for exar). Among other les of game so tional applicati	mple, to econor s students sho lving.	mics (insurance ould master suc	e, barga ch notion	nining, n	egotiations) equilibrium, o	or biology ptimal strategy

Data wygenerowania: 19.09.2025 15:46 Strona 1 z 2

Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification			
	[K7_U09] constructs mathematical models used in specific advanced applications of mathematics, can use stochastic processes as a tool for modeling phenomena and analyzing their evolution, constructs mathematical models used in specific advanced applications of mathematics, uses stochastic processes as a tool for modeling phenomena and analyzing their evolution, recognizes mathematical structures in physical theories [K7_W02] has enhanced knowledge of a selected branch of mathematics, theoretical or	Presentation of some application of game theory Solving of small games, linear programming	[SU3] Assessment of ability to use knowledge gained from the subject [SU5] Assessment of ability to present the results of task [SW1] Assessment of factual knowledge [SW2] Assessment of knowledge			
	applied, knows classical definitions and theorems and their proofs and connections with other fields,understands problems being examined		contained in presentation			
Subject contents	 Uncertainty and chance, decision making under uncertainty, two-person matrix games. Strategic form games, applications, Nash equilibrium, zero sum matrix game, saddle points. Solving matrix games with mixed strategies. Graphs and trees, single-person decisions. Sequential games, the structure of sequential games. Sequential games with perfect information. Sequential games with imperfect information. Coalitional games- Shapley value. Games with more than two strategies, equilibria and stability. Combinatorial games Linear programming 					
Prerequisites and co-requisites	Calculus I and II, linear algebra, eler	nents of probability theory and statist	ics			
Assessment methods	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade			
A33C33HCH HICHOG3	II Subject passing criteria					
and criteria	general activity	-				
and criteria	general activity	0.0%	10.0%			
and criteria	seminar presentation	0.0% 0.0%	10.0%			
and criteria Recommended reading	1	0.0% 0.0% 50.0% 1. M. DeVoss, D. Kent, Game The Philip Straffin, Teoria gier, Scho James N. Webb, Game Theory Evolution, Springer 2007	10.0% 30.0% 60.0% eory, AMS 2016 blar 2001 Decisions, Interaction and teorii gier, Uniwersytet Warszawski,			
	seminar presentation Test	 0.0% 0.0% 50.0% M. DeVoss, D. Kent, Game The Philip Straffin, Teoria gier, School James N. Webb, Game Theory Evolution, Springer 2007 Tadeusz Płatkowski, Wstęp do 2012. G. Owen, Teoria gier, PWN 197 J. Hofbauer, K. Sigmund, Evolu Dynamics, Cambridge UP 2002. J. Watson, Strategia. Wprowad S. Stahl, A gentle introduction t 	10.0% 30.0% 60.0% 60.0% 60.07, AMS 2016 blar 2001. Decisions, Interaction and teorii gier, Uniwersytet Warszawski, 75. Itionary Games and Population zenie do teorii gier, WNT 2005. o game theory, AMS 1998. A course in game theory, MIT Press			
	seminar presentation Test Basic literature Supplementary literature	0.0% 0.0% 1. M. DeVoss, D. Kent, Game The Philip Straffin, Teoria gier, Scho James N. Webb, Game Theory Evolution, Springer 2007 4. Tadeusz Płatkowski, Wstęp do 2012. 5. G. Owen, Teoria gier, PWN 197 1. J. Hofbauer, K. Sigmund, Evolu Dynamics, Cambridge UP 2002. 2. J. Watson, Strategia. Wprowad S. Stahl, A gentle introduction to 1998.	10.0% 30.0% 60.0% 60.0% 60.07, AMS 2016 blar 2001. Decisions, Interaction and teorii gier, Uniwersytet Warszawski, 75. Itionary Games and Population zenie do teorii gier, WNT 2005. o game theory, AMS 1998. A course in game theory, MIT Press			
	seminar presentation Test Basic literature Supplementary literature eResources addresses 1. Write the following game as a b Two firms (A and B) decide whe players will sell more devises if their the payoffs will be 2 for each. If they choose different extensions the 2. Finding Nash equilibria: A man has two sons. When he that the two sons must each spet then each gets the sum he asked for and the self-base and the self	 0.0% 0.0% 50.0% M. DeVoss, D. Kent, Game The Philip Straffin, Teoria gier, Schot James N. Webb, Game Theory Evolution, Springer 2007 Tadeusz Płatkowski, Wstęp do 2012. G. Owen, Teoria gier, PWN 197 J. Hofbauer, K. Sigmund, Evolu Dynamics, Cambridge UP 2002. J. Watson, Strategia. Wprowad S. Stahl, A gentle introduction to the M. J. Osborne, A. Rubinstein and 1998. A. Karlin, Y. Peres, Game Theory of the todesign the devise they sell to the products are compatible. If they be to both choose for K2 extension the part of the products are compatible. If they be to both choose for K2 extension the part of the products are compatible. If they be to both choose for K2 extension the part of the products are compatible. If they be to both choose for K2 extension the part of the products are compatible. 	10.0% 30.0% 60.0% 60.0% 60.0% 60.07, AMS 2016 60lar 2001. Decisions, Interaction and teorii gier, Uniwersytet Warszawski, 75. Itionary Games and Population Zenie do teorii gier, WNT 2005. 60 game theory, AMS 1998. A course in game theory, MIT Press 60 bry, Alive, AMS 2017 The use K1 or K2 extensions. Both 61 th choose for K1 extension the cayoffs will be 1 for each. If they 62 is 100000 zł. In his will it states 63 willing to accept. If s1 + s2 100000, 64 the local home for spoilt cats. If s1 sum of 100000 zł goes to the cats 64 berit, and (ii) they can only ask for			

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.

Data wygenerowania: 19.09.2025 15:46 Strona 2 z 2